## <u>REMARKS</u>

The 20 February 2009 Office Action for the above referenced application adds to the substantial evidence produced during the examination of numerous co-pending patent applications that the personnel in TC 3600 lack the skill in the art required to examine patent applications for inventions that include the arts described in the instant patent application.

## 35 U.S.C. § 112 First Paragraph Rejections

In the 20 February 2009 Office Action claims 48 – 68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112 first paragraph for allegedly failing to define a number of terms. The Assignee traverses the §112 first paragraph rejection of claims 48 – 68 in three ways. First, by noting that the Office Action has failed to establish a prima facie case that the specification does not meet the requirements of §112 first paragraph. Second, by noting that the assertions regarding the alleged lack of written description are not in compliance with the both standards of the Administrative Procedures Act and are therefore moot. Third by noting these claim rejections are non-statutory. The claim rejections are non-statutory because there is no statutory basis for giving any consideration to a written description rejection authored by individuals and/or an organization with a level of skill in the art that is not average or better.

As mentioned previously, the Examiner has failed to establish a prima facie case that the specification does not meet the requirements of §112 first paragraph. MPEP 2163 states that: "A description as filed is presumed to be adequate, unless or until sufficient evidence or reasoning to the contrary has been presented by the examiner to rebut the presumption. See, e.g., In re Marzocchi, 439 F.2d 220, 224, 169 USPQ 367, 370 (CCPA 1971). The examiner, therefore, must have a reasonable basis to challenge the adequacy of the written description. The examiner has the initial burden of presenting by a preponderance of evidence why a person skilled in the art would not recognize in an applicant's disclosure a description of the invention defined by the claims. Wertheim, 541 F.2d at 263, 191 USPQ at 97. In rejecting a claim, the examiner must set forth express findings of fact regarding the above analysis which support the lack of written description conclusion. These findings should:

- (A) Identify the claim limitation at issue; and
- (B) Establish a prima facie case by providing reasons why a person skilled in the art at the time the application was filed would not have recognized that the inventor was in possession of the invention as claimed in view of the disclosure of the application as filed.

Serial No: 10/025,794 11

A general allegation of "unpredictability in the art" is not a sufficient reason to support a rejection for lack of adequate written description."

Furthermore, it is well established that "the test of enablement is whether one reasonably skilled in the art could make or use the invention from the disclosures in the patent coupled with information known in the art without undue experimentation." United States v. Telectronics, Inc., 857 F.2d 778, 785, 8 USPQ2d 1217, 1223 (Fed. Cir. 1988). This has been the primary test of enablement since 1916 (see Mineral Separation v. Hyde, 242 U.S. 261, 270 (1916)). The determination that "undue experimentation" would have been needed to make and use the claimed invention is not a single, simple factual determination (In re Wands, 858 F.2d 731, 8 USPQ2d 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988)). Factors which need to be considered include: the nature of the invention, the state of the prior art, the predictability or lack thereof in the art, the amount of direction or guidance present, the presence or absence of working examples, the breadth of the claims, the relative skill of those in the art and the quantity of experimentation needed (hereinafter referred to as the Wands factors). A conclusion of lack of enablement means that, based on the evidence regarding each of the above factors (the Wands factors), the specification, at the time the application was filed, would not have taught one skilled in the art how to make and/or use the full scope of the claimed invention without undue experimentation (In re Wright, 999 F.2d 1557,1562, 27 USPQ2d 1510, 1513 (Fed. Cir. 1993)). The arguments presented by the Examiner fail to establish the prima facie case required to sustain a §112 first paragraph rejection for a single claim in several ways, including:

- 1. the first way the February 20, 2009 Office Action fails to establish the prima facie case that the specification does not meet the requirements of §112 first paragraph is that the Examiner has not identified any reasons why a person skilled in the art at the time the application was filed would not have recognized that the inventor was in possession of the invention as claimed, in particular the Examiner failed to consider the Wands factors; and
- 2. the second way the February 20, 2009 Office Action fails to establish the prima facie case that the specification does not meet the requirements of §112 first paragraph is that the Examiner has only made conclusory statements.

The Assignee submits that the assertion that the specification does not meet the requirements of §112 first paragraph also fail under both standards of the APA. First, as detailed above, the Examiner has not provided any evidence to support these allegations. As a result, the §112 first paragraph rejection of claims 48 – 68 fails under the substantial evidence standard. It is well Serial No: 10/025,794

established that conclusory statements do not constitute evidence. Furthermore, the statements supporting the claim rejections were made by an Examiner and an organization with an apparently thorough documented lack of the skill in the relevant arts required to author a valid written description rejection. The apparent allowance of claims 35 - 47 which use identical language to describe a process optimization process makes it clear that the rejection of claims 48 - 58, which describe an article of manufacture for process optimization and claims 59 - 68 which describe a machine for process optimization for a lack of enablement would also fail under the arbitrary and capricious standard.

## 35 U.S.C. § 112 Second Paragraph Rejection of Claims

In the 20 February 2009 Office Action claims 48 – 68 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112 second paragraph. The Assignee traverses the §112 second paragraph rejections of each claim in several ways. First, by noting that the Office Action has failed to establish a prima facie case that the claims do not meet the requirements of §112 second paragraph. Second, by noting that the claim rejections based on assertions of alleged indefiniteness are not in compliance with the Administrative Procedures Act and are therefore moot. Third by noting that the claim rejections are non statutory. The claim rejections are non-statutory because there is no statutory basis for giving any consideration to a written description rejection authored by individuals and/or an organization with a level of skill in the art that is not average or better.

The first way the Assignee will traverse the 35 U.S.C. §112 second paragraph rejection of claims 48 – 68 will be by noting that the arguments presented by the Examiner fail to establish the prima facie case required to sustain a §112 second paragraph rejection. *MPEP 2173.02* states that: definiteness of claim language must be analyzed, not in a vacuum, but in light of:

- (A) The content of the particular application disclosure;
- (B) The teachings of the prior art; and
- (C) The claim interpretation that would be given by one possessing the ordinary level of skill in the pertinent art at the time the invention was made.

In reviewing a claim for compliance with 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, the examiner must consider the claim as a whole to determine whether the claim apprises one of ordinary skill in the art of its scope and, therefore, serves the notice function required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, by providing clear warning to others as to what constitutes infringement of the patent. See, e.g., Solomon v. Kimberly-Clark Corp., 216 F.3d 1372, 1379, 55 USPQ2d 1279, 1283 (Fed. Cir. 2000). See also In re Larsen, No. 01-1092 (Fed. Cir. May 9, 2001). In the case of claims 1, 49 and 61 the Examiner has failed to establish the prima facie case that the

specification does not meet the requirements of §112 second paragraph in several ways for every rejected claim. The several ways include:

- 1. failing to interpret the claims in light of the specification,
- 2. failing to provide any evidence that someone of average skill in the relevant arts would have difficulty interpreting the claims,
- 3. failing to establish that the limitation(s) in the claims fail to describe the invention
- 4. failing to consider the claim as a whole, and/or
- 5. failing to establish that the rejected claims meet any of the well established criteria for indefiniteness. Specifically, the rejected claims do not: (1) recite a means-plus-function limitation without disclosing corresponding structure in the specification; (2) include a numeric limitation without disclosing which of multiple methods of measuring that number should be used; (3) contain a term that is completely dependent on a person's subjective opinion, and/or (4) contain a term does not have proper antecedent basis where such basis is not otherwise present by implication or the meaning is not reasonably ascertainable (Halliburton Energy Services, Inc. v. M-I LLC, 514 F.3d 1244, 1255,85 USPQ2d 1663 (Fed. Cir. 2008) and Halliburton, 514 F.3d at 1246, 85 USPQ2d at 1658 (Citing Biomedino, LLC v. Waters Techs. Corp., 490 F.3d 946, 950 (Fed. Cir, 2007).

As noted previously, the second way the Assignee will traverse the §112 second paragraph rejections of claims 48 – 68 is by noting that the assertions regarding the alleged indefiniteness of the claims are not in compliance with the requirements of the Administrative Procedures Act and are therefore moot. In Dickinson v. Zurko, 119 S. Ct. 1816, 50 USPQ2d 1930 (1999), the Supreme Court held that the appropriate standard of review of PTO findings are the standards set forth in the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA") at 5 U.S.C. 706 (1994). The APA provides two standards for review – an arbitrary and capricious standard and a substantial evidence standard. The Assignee respectfully submits that discussion in the preceding paragraphs clearly shows that the instant Office Action fails to provide even a scintilla of evidence to support the allegation that the specification does not meet the requirements of §112 second paragraph and that as a result it fails to meet the substantial evidence standard. The apparent allowance of claims 35 – 47 which use the same language to describe a process optimization process makes it clear that the rejection of claims 48 – 58, which describe an article of manufacture for process optimization and claims 59 – 68 which describe a machine for process optimization for indefiniteness would also fail under the arbitrary and capricious standard.

Serial No: 10/025,794 14

## Request for information under 37 CFR 1.105

The February 20, 2009 Office Action for the above referenced application contains an unusual request that the Assignee identify the non patent literature references that are relevant. The Assignee notes that the request is unusual because the Examiner had previously indicated that most of these references were considered on March 26, 2008. The request was also unusual because the Examiner did not follow the procedure outlined in the MPEP by providing the reason this request was made. The Assignee determined that the most likely reason was to evaluate the patentability of the claimed invention. Accordingly, the table below contains the previously disclosed references that are believed to be relevant to an evaluation of the patentability of the invention described in application 10/025,794. In making this determination, the Assignee relied on the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure which states: A prior art reference that "teaches away" from the claimed invention is a significant factor to be considered ... the nature of the teaching is highly relevant and must be weighed in substance (see MPEP 2145).

	1
#	Reference
1	ZIPP, ALAN S.; Business valuation methods; 1993, pages 1 - 218, AICPA, U.S.A.
2	DAVIDOW, WILLIAM; Accounting systems are completely wrong, Red Herring, January 1, 1995, pages 1 - 3, Red Herring, U.S.A.
3	MCTAGGERT, JAMES, KONTES, PETER AND MANKINS, MICHAEL, The value imperative, 1994, pages 1 - 350, The Free Press, U.S.A.
4	RAPPAPORT, ALFRED; Creating shareholder value; 1986 & 1998, pages 1 - 195, The Free Press, U.S.A.
5	RITCHKEN, PETER, Options, Theory, Strategy and Applications, 1987, pages 1 - 407, Foresman and Company, U.S.A.
6	DIXIT, AVINASH & PINDYCK, ROBERT; Investment under uncertainty; 1994; pages 3 - 444, Princeton University Press, U.S.A.
7	GARSON, DAVID; Interpreting neural network connection weights, 4/1/1991, pages 47 - 51, AI Expert, U.S.A.
8	WELLSTEAD, STEPHEN; Neural network and fuzzy logic applications in C/C++; 1994; pages 3 - 447, John Wiley & Sons, U.S.A.
9	MOST, KENNETH; Accounting theory; 1977, pages 1 - 348, Grid, Inc., U.S.A.
10	HENDRIKSEN, ELDEN, Accounting theory, 1982, pages 1 - 524, Richard D. Irwin, U.S.A.
11	KULKARNI, ARUN; Artificial neural networks for image understanding; 1994; pages 1 - 204, Van Norstrand Reinhold, U.S.A.
12	WARD SYSTEMS GROUP; NeuroWindows User Manual; July 1993; pages 1-1 to A4 - 2, Ward Systems Group, U.S.A.
13	BREALEY, RICHARD & MYERS, STEWART; Principles of Corporate Finance; 1991; pages 1 - 741, McGraw Hill, U.S.A.
14	FAULKNER, TERRENCE; Applying options thinking to R&D valuation; May/June 1996; pages 50 - 56, Research Technology Management, U.S.A.

15

	AULED MEDICAL ORIGINAL EDANGO DI LA LI
15	MILLER, MERTON & MODIGLIANI, FRANCO, Dividend policy, growth and the valuation of shares, October 1961, pages 411 - 433, V34, The Journal of Business, U.S.A.
16	SIMENSKY, MELVIN & BRYER, LANNING; The new role of intellectual property in commercial transactions, 1994, pages 1 - 572, John Wiley & Sons, U.S.A.
17	WILSON, ALBERT, Emerging approaches to impaired property valuation, April 1996, pages 155 - 170, V64, Appraisal Journal, U.S.A.
18	BROWN, GORDON T, Free cash flow appraisal, a better way, April 1996, pages 171 - 182, V64, Appraisal Journal, U.S.A.
19	BUSINESS EDITORS & REAL ESTATE INDUSTRY WRITERS, EQK Realty Investors I, 4/2/1992, pages 1 - 3, Press Release, U.S.A.
20	SWAD, RANDY, Business valuation, applicable standards for CPA's, September 1995, pages 38 - 43, V65, CPA Journal, U.S.A.
21	REILLY, ROBERT; Valuation of intangibles for bankruptcy and reorganization
Z I	purposes; August 1994; pages 25 - 30, V53, Ohio CPA Journal, U.S.A.
22	LIEBICH, KIM; How to value a bank; August 1995; pages 21 - 25, V87, ABA Banking Journal, U.S.A.
23	BAUMANN, BARBARA H & OXAAL, MARJORIE R; Estimating the value of a group medical practice, a primer; December 1993, pages 58 - 65, V47, Healthcare Financial Management, U.S.A.
24	MAXSON, MARK; Will you get your money's worth?, May/June 1993, pages 54 - 58, V9, Financial Executive, U.S.A.
25	FRIEDMAN, RICHARD; Business valuation: calculating it right; October 1994; pages 34 - 39, V10, The Practical Accountant, U.S.A.
26	MULLEN, MAGGIE; How to value intangibles; November 1993; pages 92 - 94, V112, Accountancy, U.K.
27	STEWART, THOMAS; Trying to grasp the intangible; October 2, 1995, pages 157 - 159, V132, Fortune, U.S.A.
28	OUROSOFF, ALEXANDRA; What the world's top brands are worth; September 1, 1992; pages 33 - 49, Finance World, U.S.A.
29	PHILLIPS BUSINESS INFORMATION, INC.; Five ways to reduce risk with neural networks; 9/27/1993; V3, Credit Risk Management Report, Philips Business Information
30	LIPPITT, JEFFREY & MASTRACCHIO, NICHOLAS, Developing capitalization rates for valuing a business, November 1995, pages 24 - 28, V65, The CPA Journal, U.S.A.
31	HIRSCH, A. ETHAN, What's it worth?, December 21, 1992, page 16, V6, Accounting Today, U.S.A.
32	MYERS, STEWART & HOWE, CHRISTOPHER; A life-cycle financial model of Pharmaceutical R&D April 1997; pages 1 - 38, MIT Sloan School of Management, USA
33	SIMON, CAROL J. & SULLIVAN, MARY W.; The Measurement and Determinants of Brand Equity; Winter 1993; pages 28 - 52, V12, Marketing Science, U.S.A.
34	SVEIBY, KARL & MELLANDER, KLAS; Tango Learning Guide Version 2.1; 1994; pages 4 - 30, Celemi, Sweden
35	KAUFMAN, J. JERRY; Value Management; 1998; pages 1 - 90, Crisp Publications, U.S.A
36	HBS Press; Harvard Business Review on Measuring Corporate Performance; 1998; pages 1 - 216, HBS Press, U.S.A.

MORRIS, HENRY, Extending the Business Process, October 6, 1998, slides 1 - 20, IDC Presentation, San Francisco, CA, U.S.A.  AMIR, ELI, & LEV, BARUCH, "Value-relevance of non-financial information", August - December 1996, pages 3 - 30, Journal of Accounting and Economics, U.S.A.  ERNST & YOUNG, Measures that Matter, 1997, pages 1 - 16, Ernst & Young Center for Business Innovation, U.S.A.  BOUQUET, PAOLO, SEARAFINI, LUCIANO, et al; Modeling and Using Context - Context 1999, 1999, pages 1 - 526, Springer, Germany  AKIMAN, VAROL, BOUQUET, PAOLO, et al; Modeling and Using Context - Context 1999, 1999, pages 1 - 526, Springer, Germany  KUEHNE, SVEN, et al, "SeGL: Category learning as progressive abstraction using structure mapping", August 2000, pages 770 - 775, Proceedings of 22nd Annual Cognitive Science Conference Philadelphia, PA, U.S.A.  FRANKE, JURGEN, HARDLE, WOLFGANG, et al; Measuring Risk in Complex Stochastic Systems; 2000, pages 1 - 255, Springer, U.S.A.  SHIMPI, PRAKASH, Integrating Corporate Risk Management, 1999 & 2001, pages 3 - 256, Texere, LLC, U.S.A.  BREWKA, GERHARD, Principles of Knowledge Representation, 1996, pages 1 - 311, CSLI Publications, Stanford University, U.S.A.  REITER, RAYMOND, Knowledge in Action, 2001, pages 1 - 418, MIT Press, U.S.A.  TISSEN, RENE, ANDRIESSEN, DANIEL, et al; The Knowledge Dividend, 2000, pages 3 - 258, Prentice Hall, U.K.  BROWN, JOHN SEELY, et al, "Loosening up: How process networks unlock the power of specialization, 2002, pages 59 - 69, McKinsey Quarterly Special Edition, McKinsey, U.S.A.  BLYTHE, JIM, "An Integrated Environment for Knowledge Acquisition", 2001, pages 1 - 3 - 20, Proceedings International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces, ACM, U.S.A.  KLUGE, JURGEN, Knowledge Unplugged, 2001, pages 1 - 207, Palgrave, U.K.  GUINN, JAMES BRIAN, Intelligent Enterprise, 1992, pages 3 - 458, The Free Press, U.S.A.  SHAFER, GLENN & VOVK, VLADIMIR, Probability and Finance, 2001, pages 1 - 440, John Wiley & Sons, U.S.A.  HANCOCK, JOHN, HUBER, PETER, The economi	37	KAPLAN, ROBERT & NORTON, DAVID; The Balanced Scorecard; 1996; pages 1 - 311, HBS Press, U.S.A.
AMIR, ELI; & LEV, BARUCH, "Value-relevance of non-financial information", August - December 1996, pages 3 - 30, Journal of Accounting and Economics, U.S.A. ERNST & YOUNG, Measures that Matter, 1997, pages 1 - 16, Ernst & Young Center for Business Innovation, U.S.A. BOUQUET, PAOLO, SEARAFINI, LUCIANO, et al; Modeling and Using Context - Context 1999, 1999, pages 1 - 526, Springer, Germany AKMAN, VAROL, BOUQUET, PAOLO, et al; Modeling and Using Context - Context 1999, 1999, pages 1 - 469, Springer, Germany KUEHINE, SVEN, et al, "SEQL: Category learning as progressive abstraction using structure mapping", August 2000, pages 770 - 775, Proceedings of 22nd Annual Cognitive Science Conference Philadelphia, PA, U.S.A. FRANKE, JURGEN, HARDLE, WOLFGANG, et al; Measuring Risk in Complex Stochastic Systems; 2000, pages 1 - 255, Springer, U.S.A. SHIMPI, PRAKASH, Integrating Corporate Risk Management, 1999 & 2001, pages 3 - 266, Texere, LLC, U.S.A. BREWKA, GERHARD, Principles of Knowledge Representation, 1996, pages 1 - 311, CSLI Publications, Stanford University, U.S.A. REITER, RAYMOND, Knowledge in Action, 2001, pages 1 - 418, MIT Press, U.S.A. TISSEN, RENE, ANDRIESSEN, DANIEL, et al; The Knowledge Dividend, 2000, pages 3 - 258, Prentice Hall, U.K. BROWN, JOHN SEELY, et al, "Loosening up: How process networks unlock the power of specialization, 2002, pages 59 - 69, McKinsey Quarterly Special Edition, McKinsey, USA BLYTHE, JIM, "An Integrated Environment for Knowledge Acquisition", 2001, pages 13 - 20, Proceedings International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces, ACM, U.S.A. FOWLER, MARTIN; Analysis Pattems: Reusable Object Models, 1997, pages 1 - 342, Addison Wesley, U.S.A. FOWLER, MARTIN, Strategic Enterprise Management Systems, 2002, pages 1 - 342, Addison Wesley, U.S.A. FAHY, MARTIN, Strategic Enterprise Management Systems, 2002, pages 1 - 443, Swiss Re Press, Switzerland FOWLER, MARTIN, Altman, EDWARD & NARAYANAN, PAUL, Managing Credit Risk, 1998, pages 1 - 442, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., U.S.A. CAOUETTE, JOHN,	38	MORRIS, HENRY; Extending the Business Process, October 6, 1998, slides 1 - 20,
ERNST & YOUNG, Measures that Matter, 1997, pages 1 - 16, Ernst & Young Center for Business Innovation, U.S.A.  BOUQUET, PAOLO, SEARAFINI, LUCIANO, et al; Modeling and Using Context - Context 1999, 1999, pages 1 - 526, Springer, Germany  AKMAN, VAROL, BOUQUET, PAOLO, et al; Modeling and Using Context - Context 1999, 1999, pages 1 - 459, Springer, Germany  KUEHNE, SVEN, et al, "SEQL: Category learning as progressive abstraction using structure mapping", August 2000, pages 770 - 775, Proceedings of 22nd Annual Cognitive Science Conference Philadelphia, PA, U.S.A.  FRANKE, JURGEN, HARDLE, WOLFGANG, et al; Measuring Risk in Complex Stochastic Systems; 2000, pages 1 - 255, Springer, U.S.A.  SHIMPI, PRAKASH, Integrating Corporate Risk Management, 1999 & 2001, pages 3 - 266, Texere, LLC, U.S.A.  BREWKA, GERHARD, Principles of Knowledge Representation, 1996, pages 1 - 311, CSLI Publications, Stanford University, U.S.A.  REITER, RAYMOND, Knowledge in Action, 2001, pages 1 - 418, MIT Press, U.S.A.  TISSEN, RENE, ANDRIESSEN, DANIEL, et al; The Knowledge Dividend, 2000, pages 3 - 258, Prentice Hall, U.K.  BROWN, JOHN SEELY, et al, "Loosening up: How process networks unlock the power of specialization, 2002, pages 59 - 69, McKinsey Quarterly Special Edition, McKinsey, USA  BLYTHE, JIM, "An Integrated Environment for Knowledge Acquisition", 2001, pages 13 - 20, Proceedings International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces, ACM, U.S.A.  KLUGE, JURGEN, Knowledge Unplugged, 2001, pages 1 - 207, Palgrave, U.K.  QUINN, JAMES BRIAN, Intelligent Enterprise, 1992, pages 3 - 458, The Free Press, U.S.A.  FOWLER, MARTIN; Analysis Patterns: Reusable Object Models, 1997, pages 1 - 342, Addison Wesley, U.S.A.  SHAFER, GLENN & VOVK, VLADIMIR, Probability and Finance, 2001, pages 1 - 404, John Wiley & Sons, U.S.A.  HANCOCK, JOHN, HUBER, PETER, The economics of insurance, 2001, pages 1 - 43, Swiss Re Press, Switzerland  CLARK, PETER et al, "Knowledge entry as the graphical assembly of components", submitted to K-CAP 2001 October 2001, p	39	AMIR, ELI; & LEV, BARUCH, "Value-relevance of non-financial information", August
<ul> <li>BOUQUET, PAOLO, SEARAFINI, LUCIANO, et al; Modeling and Using Context - Context 1999, pages 1 - 528, Springer, Germany</li> <li>AKMAN, VAROL, BOUQUET, PAOLO, et al; Modeling and Using Context - Context 2001, 2001, pages 1 - 469, Springer, Germany</li> <li>KUEHNE, SVEN, et al, "SEQL: Category learning as progressive abstraction using structure mapping", August 2000, pages 770 - 775, Proceedings of 22nd Annual Cognitive Science Conference Philadelphia, PA, U.S.A.</li> <li>FRANKE, JURGEN, HARDLE, WOLFGANG, et al; Measuring Risk in Complex Stochastic Systems; 2000, pages 1 - 255, Springer, U.S.A.</li> <li>SHIMPI, PRAKASH, Integrating Corporate Risk Management, 1999 &amp; 2001, pages 3 - 266, Texere, LLC, U.S.A.</li> <li>BREWKA, GERHARD, Principles of Knowledge Representation, 1996, pages 1 - 311, CSLI Publications, Stanford University, U.S.A.</li> <li>REITER, RAYMOND, Knowledge in Action, 2001, pages 1 - 418, MIT Press, U.S.A.</li> <li>TISSEN, RENE, ANDRIESSEN, DANIEL, et al; The Knowledge Dividend, 2000, pages 3 - 258, Prentice Hall, U.K.</li> <li>BROWN, JOHN SEELY, et al, "Loosening up: How process networks unlock the power of specialization, 2002, pages 59 - 69, McKinsey Quarterly Special Edition, McKinsey, USA</li> <li>BLYTHE, JIM, "An Integrated Environment for Knowledge Acquisition", 2001, pages 13 - 20, Proceedings International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces, ACM, U.S.A.</li> <li>KLUGE, JURGEN, Knowledge Unplugged, 2001, pages 1 - 207, Palgrave, U.K.</li> <li>QUINN, JAMES BRIAN, Intelligent Enterprise, 1992, pages 3 - 458, The Free Press, U.S.A.</li> <li>SHAFER, GLENN &amp; VOVK, VLADIMIR, Probability and Finance, 2001, pages 1 - 404, John Wiley &amp; Sons, U.S.A.</li> <li>FAHY, MARTIN, Strategic Enterprise Management Systems, 2002, pages 1 - 177, AICPA, U.S.A.</li> <li>HANCOCK, JOHN, HUBER, PETER, The economics of insurance, 2001, pages 1 - 43, Swiss Re Press, Switzerland</li> <li>CLARK, PETER et al, "Knowledge entry as the graphical a</li></ul>	40	ERNST & YOUNG, Measures that Matter, 1997, pages 1 - 16, Ernst & Young Center
AKMAN, VAROL, BOUQUET, PAOLO, et al; Modeling and Using Context - Context 2001, 2001, pages 1 - 498, Springer, Germany KUEHNE, SVEN, et al, "SEQL: Category learning as progressive abstraction using structure mapping", August 2000, pages 770 - 775, Proceedings of 22nd Annual Cognitive Science Conference Philadelphia, PA, U.S.A. FRANKE, JURGEN, HARDLE, WOLFGANG, et al; Measuring Risk in Complex Stochastic Systems; 2000, pages 1 - 255, Springer, U.S.A. SHIMPI, PRAKASH, Integrating Corporate Risk Management, 1999 & 2001, pages 3 - 266, Texere, LLC, U.S.A. BREWKA, GERHARD, Principles of Knowledge Representation, 1996, pages 1 - 311, CSLI Publications, Stanford University, U.S.A. TISSEN, RENE, ANDRIESSEN, DANIEL, et al; The Knowledge Dividend, 2000, pages 3 - 258, Prentice Hall, U.K. BROWN, JOHN SEELY, et al, "Loosening up: How process networks unlock the power of specialization, 2002, pages 59 - 69, McKinsey Quarterly Special Edition, McKinsey, USA BLYTHE, JIM, "An Integrated Environment for Knowledge Acquisition", 2001, pages 13 - 20, Proceedings International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces, ACM, U.S.A.  KLUGE, JURGEN, Knowledge Unplugged, 2001, pages 1 - 207, Palgrave, U.K. QUINN, JAMES BRIAN, Intelligent Enterprise, 1992, pages 3 - 458, The Free Press, U.S.A. FOWLER, MARTIN; Analysis Patterns: Reusable Object Models, 1997, pages 1 - 342, Addison Wesley, U.S.A. FAHY, MARTIN, Strategic Enterprise Management Systems, 2002, pages 1 - 177, AICPA, U.S.A. FAHY, MARTIN, Strategic Enterprise Management Systems, 2002, pages 1 - 443, Swiss Re Press, Switzerland CLARK, PETER et al, "Knowledge entry as the graphical assembly of components", submitted to K-CAP 2001 October 2001, pages 1 - 8, Victoria BC, Canada UPTON, WAYNE, "Special Report: Business and Financial Reporting, Challenges of the New Economy," pages 1 - 118, 2001, FASB, USA	41	BOUQUET, PAOLO, SEARAFINI, LUCIANO, et al; Modeling and Using Context -
KUEHNE, SVEN, et al, "SEQL: Category learning as progressive abstraction using structure mapping", August 2000, pages 770 - 775, Proceedings of 22nd Annual Cognitive Science Conference Philadelphia, PA, U.S.A.  FRANKE, JURGEN, HARDLE, WOLFGANG, et al; Measuring Risk in Complex Stochastic Systems; 2000, pages 1 - 255, Springer, U.S.A.  SHIMPI, PRAKASH, Integrating Corporate Risk Management, 1999 & 2001, pages 3 - 266, Texere, LLC, U.S.A.  BREWKA, GERHARD, Principles of Knowledge Representation, 1996, pages 1 - 311, CSLI Publications, Stanford University, U.S.A.  TISSEN, RENE, ANDRIESSEN, DANIEL, et al; The Knowledge Dividend, 2000, pages 3 - 258, Prentice Hall, U.K.  BROWN, JOHN SEELY, et al, "Loosening up: How process networks unlock the power of specialization, 2002, pages 59 - 69, McKinsey Quarterly Special Edition, McKinsey, USA  BLYTHE, JIM, "An Integrated Environment for Knowledge Acquisition", 2001, pages 1 - 20, Proceedings International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces, ACM, U.S.A.  KLUGE, JURGEN, Knowledge Unplugged, 2001, pages 1 - 207, Palgrave, U.K.  QUINN, JAMES BRIAN, Intelligent Enterprise, 1992, pages 3 - 458, The Free Press, U.S.A.  FOWLER, MARTIN; Analysis Patterms: Reusable Object Models, 1997, pages 1 - 342, Addison Wesley, U.S.A.  SHAFER, GLENN & VOVK, VLADIMIR, Probability and Finance, 2001, pages 1 - 404, John Wiley & Sons, U.S.A.  CAHER, PETER et al, "Knowledge entry as the graphical assembly of components", submitted to K-CAP 2001 October 2001, pages 1 - 8, Victoria BC, Canada  UPTON, WAYNE, "Special Report: Business and Financial Reporting, Challenges of the New Economy," pages 1 - 118, 2001, FASB, USA  CAOUETTE, JOHN, ALTMAN, EDWARD & NARAYANAN, PAUL, Managing Credit Risk, 1998, pages 1 - 242, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., U.S.A.	42	AKMAN, VAROL, BOUQUET, PAOLO, et al; Modeling and Using Context - Context
Stochastic Systems; 2000, pages 1 - 255, Springer, U.S.A.  SHIMPI, PRAKASH, Integrating Corporate Risk Management, 1999 & 2001, pages 3 - 266, Texere, LLC, U.S.A.  BREWKA, GERHARD, Principles of Knowledge Representation, 1996, pages 1 - 311, CSLI Publications, Stanford University, U.S.A.  REITER, RAYMOND, Knowledge in Action, 2001, pages 1 - 418, MIT Press, U.S.A.  TISSEN, RENE, ANDRIESSEN, DANIEL, et al; The Knowledge Dividend, 2000, pages 3 - 258, Prentice Hall, U.K.  BROWN, JOHN SEELY, et al, "Loosening up: How process networks unlock the power of specialization, 2002, pages 59 - 69, McKinsey Quarterly Special Edition, McKinsey, USA  BLYTHE, JIM, "An Integrated Environment for Knowledge Acquisition", 2001, pages 13 - 20, Proceedings International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces, ACM, U.S.A.  KLUGE, JURGEN, Knowledge Unplugged, 2001, pages 1 - 207, Palgrave, U.K.  QUINN, JAMES BRIAN, Intelligent Enterprise, 1992, pages 3 - 458, The Free Press, U.S.A.  FOWLER, MARTIN; Analysis Patterns: Reusable Object Models, 1997, pages 1 - 342, Addison Wesley, U.S.A.  SHAFER, GLENN & VOVK, VLADIMIR, Probability and Finance, 2001, pages 1 - 404, John Wiley & Sons, U.S.A.  SHAFER, GLENN & VOVK, VLADIMIR, Probability and Finance, 2001, pages 1 - 404, John Wiley & Sons, U.S.A.  FAHY, MARTIN, Strategic Enterprise Management Systems, 2002, pages 1 - 177, AICPA, U.S.A.  HANCOCK, JOHN, HUBER, PETER, The economics of insurance, 2001, pages 1 - 43, Swiss Re Press, Switzerland  CLARK, PETER et al, "Knowledge entry as the graphical assembly of components", submitted to K-CAP 2001 October 2001, pages 1 - 8, Victoria BC, Canada  UPTON, WAYNE, "Special Report: Business and Financial Reporting, Challenges of the New Economy," pages 1 - 118, 2001, FASB, USA  CAOUETTE, JOHN, ALTMAN, EDWARD & NARAYANAN, PAUL, Managing Credit Risk, 1998, pages 1 - 242, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., U.S.A.	43	KUEHNE, SVEN, et al, "SEQL: Category learning as progressive abstraction using structure mapping", August 2000, pages 770 - 775, Proceedings of 22nd Annual
- 266, Texere, LLC, U.S.Á.  BREWKA, GERHARD, Principles of Knowledge Representation, 1996, pages 1 - 311, CSLI Publications, Stanford University, U.S.A.  REITER, RAYMOND, Knowledge in Action, 2001, pages 1 - 418, MIT Press, U.S.A.  TISSEN, RENE, ANDRIESSEN, DANIEL, et al; The Knowledge Dividend, 2000, pages 3 - 258, Prentice Hall, U.K.  BROWN, JOHN SEELY, et al, "Loosening up: How process networks unlock the power of specialization, 2002, pages 59 - 69, McKinsey Quarterly Special Edition, McKinsey, USA  BLYTHE, JIM, "An Integrated Environment for Knowledge Acquisition", 2001, pages 13 - 20, Proceedings International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces, ACM, U.S.A.  KLUGE, JURGEN, Knowledge Unplugged, 2001, pages 1 - 207, Palgrave, U.K. QUINN, JAMES BRIAN, Intelligent Enterprise, 1992, pages 3 - 458, The Free Press, U.S.A.  FOWLER, MARTIN; Analysis Patterns: Reusable Object Models, 1997, pages 1 - 342, Addison Wesley, U.S.A.  SHAFER, GLENN & VOVK, VLADIMIR, Probability and Finance, 2001, pages 1 - 404, John Wiley & Sons, U.S.A.  FAHY, MARTIN, Strategic Enterprise Management Systems, 2002, pages 1 - 177, AICPA, U.S.A.  HANCOCK, JOHN, HUBER, PETER, The economics of insurance, 2001, pages 1 - 43, Swiss Re Press, Switzerland  CLARK, PETER et al, "Knowledge entry as the graphical assembly of components", submitted to K-CAP 2001 October 2001, pages 1 - 8, Victoria BC, Canada  UPTON, WAYNE, "Special Report: Business and Financial Reporting, Challenges of the New Economy," pages 1 - 118, 2001, FASB, USA  CAOUETTE, JOHN, ALTMAN, EDWARD & NARAYANAN, PAUL, Managing Credit Risk, 1998, pages 1 - 442, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., U.S.A.	44	
311, CSLI Publications, Stanford University, U.S.A.  REITER, RAYMOND, Knowledge in Action, 2001, pages 1 - 418, MIT Press, U.S.A.  TISSEN, RENE, ANDRIESSEN, DANIEL, et al; The Knowledge Dividend, 2000, pages 3 - 258, Prentice Hall, U.K.  BROWN, JOHN SEELY, et al, "Loosening up: How process networks unlock the power of specialization, 2002, pages 59 - 69, McKinsey Quarterly Special Edition, McKinsey, USA  BLYTHE, JIM, "An Integrated Environment for Knowledge Acquisition", 2001, pages 13 - 20, Proceedings International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces, ACM, U.S.A.  KLUGE, JURGEN, Knowledge Unplugged, 2001, pages 1 – 207, Palgrave, U.K.  QUINN, JAMES BRIAN, Intelligent Enterprise, 1992, pages 3 - 458, The Free Press, U.S.A.  FOWLER, MARTIN; Analysis Patterns: Reusable Object Models, 1997, pages 1 - 342, Addison Wesley, U.S.A.  SHAFER, GLENN & VOVK, VLADIMIR, Probability and Finance, 2001, pages 1 - 404, John Wiley & Sons, U.S.A.  FAHY, MARTIN, Strategic Enterprise Management Systems, 2002, pages 1 - 177, AICPA, U.S.A.  HANCOCK, JOHN, HUBER, PETER, The economics of insurance, 2001, pages 1 - 43, Swiss Re Press, Switzerland  CLARK, PETER et al, "Knowledge entry as the graphical assembly of components", submitted to K-CAP 2001 October 2001, pages 1 - 8, Victoria BC, Canada  UPTON, WAYNE, "Special Report: Business and Financial Reporting, Challenges of the New Economy," pages 1 - 118, 2001, FASB, USA.  CAOUETTE, JOHN, ALTMAN, EDWARD & NARAYANAN, PAUL, Managing Credit Risk, 1998, pages 1 - 442, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., U.S.A.	45	- 266, Texere, LLC, U.S.A.
<ul> <li>REITER, RAYMOND, Knowledge in Action, 2001, pages 1 - 418, MIT Press, U.S.A.</li> <li>TISSEN, RENE, ANDRIESSEN, DANIEL, et al; The Knowledge Dividend, 2000, pages 3 - 258, Prentice Hall, U.K.</li> <li>BROWN, JOHN SEELY, et al, "Loosening up: How process networks unlock the power of specialization, 2002, pages 59 - 69, McKinsey Quarterly Special Edition, McKinsey, USA</li> <li>BLYTHE, JIM, "An Integrated Environment for Knowledge Acquisition", 2001, pages 13 - 20, Proceedings International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces, ACM, U.S.A.</li> <li>KLUGE, JURGEN, Knowledge Unplugged, 2001, pages 1 - 207, Palgrave, U.K.</li> <li>QUINN, JAMES BRIAN, Intelligent Enterprise, 1992, pages 3 - 458, The Free Press, U.S.A.</li> <li>FOWLER, MARTIN; Analysis Patterns: Reusable Object Models, 1997, pages 1 - 342, Addison Wesley, U.S.A.</li> <li>SHAFER, GLENN &amp; VOVK, VLADIMIR, Probability and Finance, 2001, pages 1 - 404, John Wiley &amp; Sons, U.S.A.</li> <li>FAHY, MARTIN, Strategic Enterprise Management Systems, 2002, pages 1 - 177, AICPA, U.S.A.</li> <li>HANCOCK, JOHN, HUBER, PETER, The economics of insurance, 2001, pages 1 - 43, Swiss Re Press, Switzerland</li> <li>CLARK, PETER et al, "Knowledge entry as the graphical assembly of components", submitted to K-CAP 2001 October 2001, pages 1 - 8, Victoria BC, Canada</li> <li>UPTON, WAYNE, "Special Report: Business and Financial Reporting, Challenges of the New Economy," pages 1 - 142, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., U.S.A.</li> <li>ALEXANDER, CAROL, Risk Management and Analysis, 1998, pages 1 - 275, John</li> </ul>	46	311, CSLI Publications, Stanford University, U.S.A.
TISSEN, RENE, ANDRIESSEN, DANIEL, et al; The Knowledge Dividend, 2000, pages 3 - 258, Prentice Hall, U.K.  BROWN, JOHN SEELY, et al, "Loosening up: How process networks unlock the power of specialization, 2002, pages 59 - 69, McKinsey Quarterly Special Edition, McKinsey, USA  BLYTHE, JIM, "An Integrated Environment for Knowledge Acquisition", 2001, pages 13 - 20, Proceedings International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces, ACM, U.S.A.  KLUGE, JURGEN, Knowledge Unplugged, 2001, pages 1 – 207, Palgrave, U.K.  QUINN, JAMES BRIAN, Intelligent Enterprise, 1992, pages 3 - 458, The Free Press, U.S.A.  FOWLER, MARTIN; Analysis Patterns: Reusable Object Models, 1997, pages 1 - 342, Addison Wesley, U.S.A.  SHAFER, GLENN & VOVK, VLADIMIR, Probability and Finance, 2001, pages 1 - 404, John Wiley & Sons, U.S.A.  FAHY, MARTIN, Strategic Enterprise Management Systems, 2002, pages 1 - 177, AICPA, U.S.A.  HANCOCK, JOHN, HUBER, PETER, The economics of insurance, 2001, pages 1 - 43, Swiss Re Press, Switzerland  CLARK, PETER et al, "Knowledge entry as the graphical assembly of components", submitted to K-CAP 2001 October 2001, pages 1 - 8, Victoria BC, Canada  UPTON, WAYNE, "Special Report: Business and Financial Reporting, Challenges of the New Economy," pages 1 - 118, 2001, FASB, USA  CAOUETTE, JOHN, ALTMAN, EDWARD & NARAYANAN, PAUL, Managing Credit Risk, 1998, pages 1 - 442, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., U.S.A.	47	REITER, RAYMOND, Knowledge in Action, 2001, pages 1 - 418, MIT Press, U.S.A.
BROWN, JOHN SEELY, et al, "Loosening up: How process networks unlock the power of specialization, 2002, pages 59 - 69, McKinsey Quarterly Special Edition, McKinsey, USA  BLYTHE, JIM, "An Integrated Environment for Knowledge Acquisition", 2001, pages 13 - 20, Proceedings International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces, ACM, U.S.A.  51 KLUGE, JURGEN, Knowledge Unplugged, 2001, pages 1 - 207, Palgrave, U.K.  GUINN, JAMES BRIAN, Intelligent Enterprise, 1992, pages 3 - 458, The Free Press, U.S.A.  FOWLER, MARTIN, Analysis Patterns: Reusable Object Models, 1997, pages 1 - 342, Addison Wesley, U.S.A.  SHAFER, GLENN & VOVK, VLADIMIR, Probability and Finance, 2001, pages 1 - 404, John Wiley & Sons, U.S.A.  FAHY, MARTIN, Strategic Enterprise Management Systems, 2002, pages 1 - 177, AICPA, U.S.A.  HANCOCK, JOHN, HUBER, PETER, The economics of insurance, 2001, pages 1 - 43, Swiss Re Press, Switzerland  CLARK, PETER et al, "Knowledge entry as the graphical assembly of components", submitted to K-CAP 2001 October 2001, pages 1 - 8, Victoria BC, Canada  UPTON, WAYNE, "Special Report: Business and Financial Reporting, Challenges of the New Economy," pages 1 - 118, 2001, FASB, USA  CAOUETTE, JOHN, ALTMAN, EDWARD & NARAYANAN, PAUL, Managing Credit Risk, 1998, pages 1 - 442, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., U.S.A.	48	TISSEN, RENE, ANDRIESSEN, DANIEL, et al; The Knowledge Dividend, 2000,
<ul> <li>13 - 20, Proceedings International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces, ACM, U.S.A.</li> <li>KLUGE, JURGEN, Knowledge Unplugged, 2001, pages 1 – 207, Palgrave, U.K.</li> <li>QUINN, JAMES BRIAN, Intelligent Enterprise, 1992, pages 3 - 458, The Free Press, U.S.A.</li> <li>FOWLER, MARTIN; Analysis Patterns: Reusable Object Models, 1997, pages 1 - 342, Addison Wesley, U.S.A.</li> <li>SHAFER, GLENN &amp; VOVK, VLADIMIR, Probability and Finance, 2001, pages 1 - 404, John Wiley &amp; Sons, U.S.A.</li> <li>FAHY, MARTIN, Strategic Enterprise Management Systems, 2002, pages 1 - 177, AICPA, U.S.A.</li> <li>HANCOCK, JOHN, HUBER, PETER, The economics of insurance, 2001, pages 1 - 43, Swiss Re Press, Switzerland</li> <li>CLARK, PETER et al, "Knowledge entry as the graphical assembly of components", submitted to K-CAP 2001 October 2001, pages 1 - 8, Victoria BC, Canada</li> <li>UPTON, WAYNE, "Special Report: Business and Financial Reporting, Challenges of the New Economy," pages 1 - 118, 2001, FASB, USA</li> <li>CAOUETTE, JOHN, ALTMAN, EDWARD &amp; NARAYANAN, PAUL, Managing Credit Risk, 1998, pages 1 - 442, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., U.S.A.</li> <li>ALEXANDER, CAROL, Risk Management and Analysis, 1998, pages 1 - 275, John</li> </ul>	49	power of specialization, 2002, pages 59 - 69, McKinsey Quarterly Special Edition,
QUINN, JAMES BRIAN, Intelligent Enterprise, 1992, pages 3 - 458, The Free Press, U.S.A.  FOWLER, MARTIN; Analysis Patterns: Reusable Object Models, 1997, pages 1 - 342, Addison Wesley, U.S.A.  SHAFER, GLENN & VOVK, VLADIMIR, Probability and Finance, 2001, pages 1 - 404, John Wiley & Sons, U.S.A.  FAHY, MARTIN, Strategic Enterprise Management Systems, 2002, pages 1 - 177, AICPA, U.S.A.  HANCOCK, JOHN, HUBER, PETER, The economics of insurance, 2001, pages 1 - 43, Swiss Re Press, Switzerland  CLARK, PETER et al, "Knowledge entry as the graphical assembly of components", submitted to K-CAP 2001 October 2001, pages 1 - 8, Victoria BC, Canada  UPTON, WAYNE, "Special Report: Business and Financial Reporting, Challenges of the New Economy," pages 1 - 118, 2001, FASB, USA  CAOUETTE, JOHN, ALTMAN, EDWARD & NARAYANAN, PAUL, Managing Credit Risk, 1998, pages 1 - 442, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., U.S.A.  ALEXANDER, CAROL, Risk Management and Analysis, 1998, pages 1 - 275, John	50	13 - 20, Proceedings International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces, ACM,
QUINN, JAMES BRIAN, Intelligent Enterprise, 1992, pages 3 - 458, The Free Press, U.S.A.  FOWLER, MARTIN; Analysis Patterns: Reusable Object Models, 1997, pages 1 - 342, Addison Wesley, U.S.A.  SHAFER, GLENN & VOVK, VLADIMIR, Probability and Finance, 2001, pages 1 - 404, John Wiley & Sons, U.S.A.  FAHY, MARTIN, Strategic Enterprise Management Systems, 2002, pages 1 - 177, AICPA, U.S.A.  HANCOCK, JOHN, HUBER, PETER, The economics of insurance, 2001, pages 1 - 43, Swiss Re Press, Switzerland  CLARK, PETER et al, "Knowledge entry as the graphical assembly of components", submitted to K-CAP 2001 October 2001, pages 1 - 8, Victoria BC, Canada  UPTON, WAYNE, "Special Report: Business and Financial Reporting, Challenges of the New Economy," pages 1 - 118, 2001, FASB, USA  CAOUETTE, JOHN, ALTMAN, EDWARD & NARAYANAN, PAUL, Managing Credit Risk, 1998, pages 1 - 442, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., U.S.A.  ALEXANDER, CAROL, Risk Management and Analysis, 1998, pages 1 - 275, John	51	
FOWLER, MARTIN; Analysis Patterns: Reusable Object Models, 1997, pages 1 - 342, Addison Wesley, U.S.A.  SHAFER, GLENN & VOVK, VLADIMIR, Probability and Finance, 2001, pages 1 - 404, John Wiley & Sons, U.S.A.  FAHY, MARTIN, Strategic Enterprise Management Systems, 2002, pages 1 - 177, AICPA, U.S.A.  HANCOCK, JOHN, HUBER, PETER, The economics of insurance, 2001, pages 1 - 43, Swiss Re Press, Switzerland  CLARK, PETER et al, "Knowledge entry as the graphical assembly of components", submitted to K-CAP 2001 October 2001, pages 1 - 8, Victoria BC, Canada  UPTON, WAYNE, "Special Report: Business and Financial Reporting, Challenges of the New Economy," pages 1 - 118, 2001, FASB, USA  CAOUETTE, JOHN, ALTMAN, EDWARD & NARAYANAN, PAUL, Managing Credit Risk, 1998, pages 1 - 442, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., U.S.A.  ALEXANDER, CAROL, Risk Management and Analysis, 1998, pages 1 - 275, John		QUINN, JAMES BRIAN, Intelligent Enterprise, 1992, pages 3 - 458, The Free Press,
SHAFER, GLENN & VOVK, VLADIMIR, Probability and Finance, 2001, pages 1 - 404, John Wiley & Sons, U.S.A.  FAHY, MARTIN, Strategic Enterprise Management Systems, 2002, pages 1 - 177, AICPA, U.S.A.  HANCOCK, JOHN, HUBER, PETER, The economics of insurance, 2001, pages 1 - 43, Swiss Re Press, Switzerland  CLARK, PETER et al, "Knowledge entry as the graphical assembly of components", submitted to K-CAP 2001 October 2001, pages 1 - 8, Victoria BC, Canada  UPTON, WAYNE, "Special Report: Business and Financial Reporting, Challenges of the New Economy," pages 1 - 118, 2001, FASB, USA  CAOUETTE, JOHN, ALTMAN, EDWARD & NARAYANAN, PAUL, Managing Credit Risk, 1998, pages 1 - 442, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., U.S.A.  ALEXANDER, CAROL, Risk Management and Analysis, 1998, pages 1 - 275, John	53	FOWLER, MARTIN; Analysis Patterns: Reusable Object Models, 1997, pages 1 -
FAHY, MARTIN, Strategic Enterprise Management Systems, 2002, pages 1 - 177, AICPA, U.S.A.  HANCOCK, JOHN, HUBER, PETER, The economics of insurance, 2001, pages 1 - 43, Swiss Re Press, Switzerland  CLARK, PETER et al, "Knowledge entry as the graphical assembly of components", submitted to K-CAP 2001 October 2001, pages 1 - 8, Victoria BC, Canada  UPTON, WAYNE, "Special Report: Business and Financial Reporting, Challenges of the New Economy," pages 1 - 118, 2001, FASB, USA  CAOUETTE, JOHN, ALTMAN, EDWARD & NARAYANAN, PAUL, Managing Credit Risk, 1998, pages 1 - 442, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., U.S.A.  ALEXANDER, CAROL, Risk Management and Analysis, 1998, pages 1 - 275, John	54	SHAFER, GLENN & VOVK, VLADIMIR, Probability and Finance, 2001, pages 1 -
HANCOCK, JOHN, HUBER, PETER, The economics of insurance, 2001, pages 1 – 43, Swiss Re Press, Switzerland  CLARK, PETER et al, "Knowledge entry as the graphical assembly of components", submitted to K-CAP 2001 October 2001, pages 1 - 8, Victoria BC, Canada  UPTON, WAYNE, "Special Report: Business and Financial Reporting, Challenges of the New Economy," pages 1 - 118, 2001, FASB, USA  CAOUETTE, JOHN, ALTMAN, EDWARD & NARAYANAN, PAUL, Managing Credit Risk, 1998, pages 1 - 442, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., U.S.A.  ALEXANDER, CAROL, Risk Management and Analysis, 1998, pages 1 - 275, John	55	FAHY, MARTIN, Strategic Enterprise Management Systems, 2002, pages 1 - 177,
CLARK, PETER et al, "Knowledge entry as the graphical assembly of components", submitted to K-CAP 2001 October 2001, pages 1 - 8, Victoria BC, Canada  UPTON, WAYNE, "Special Report: Business and Financial Reporting, Challenges of the New Economy," pages 1 - 118, 2001, FASB, USA  CAOUETTE, JOHN, ALTMAN, EDWARD & NARAYANAN, PAUL, Managing Credit Risk, 1998, pages 1 - 442, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., U.S.A.  ALEXANDER, CAROL, Risk Management and Analysis, 1998, pages 1 - 275, John	56	HANCOCK, JOHN, HUBER, PETER, The economics of insurance, 2001, pages 1 -
UPTON, WAYNE, "Special Report: Business and Financial Reporting, Challenges of the New Economy," pages 1 - 118, 2001, FASB, USA  CAOUETTE, JOHN, ALTMAN, EDWARD & NARAYANAN, PAUL, Managing Credit Risk, 1998, pages 1 - 442, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., U.S.A.  ALEXANDER, CAROL, Risk Management and Analysis, 1998, pages 1 - 275, John	57	CLARK, PETER et al, "Knowledge entry as the graphical assembly of components",
CAOUETTE, JOHN, ALTMAN, EDWARD & NARAYANAN, PAUL, Managing Credit Risk, 1998, pages 1 - 442, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., U.S.A.  ALEXANDER, CAROL, Risk Management and Analysis, 1998, pages 1 - 275, John	58	UPTON, WAYNE, "Special Report: Business and Financial Reporting, Challenges of
ALEXANDER, CAROL, Risk Management and Analysis, 1998, pages 1 - 275, John	59	CAOUETTE, JOHN, ALTMAN, EDWARD & NARAYANAN, PAUL, Managing Credit
	60	ALEXANDER, CAROL, Risk Management and Analysis, 1998, pages 1 - 275, John

CHICAGO MERCANTILE EXCHANGE, "SPAN - Frequently Asked Questic CME web site, 2/17/2006, FAQ pages 1 - 4, U.S.A.  CHICAGO BOARD OF TRADE, "SPAN Margin System", August 27, 1991, page 72, Chicago Board of Trade, U.S.A.  W3C, "Extensible Markup Language (XML)", W3C web site archives, pages 3 April 1999  GOLDFARB, CHARLES; & PRESCOD, PAUL; XML Handbook; 1998, pages 20 Prentice Hall, U.S.A.  MAIER, DAVID, "Database Desiderata for an XML Query Language"; W3C wel archives, pages 1 - 6, March 2001  WIDOM, JENNIFER, "Data Management for XML, Research Directions", Septe 1999, pages 44 - 52, V22, IEEE Data Engineering Bulletin, Special Issue on U.S.A.  CHAMBERS, ROBERT; QUIGGINS, JOHN; "Resource Allocation and A Pricing", pages 1 - 29, November 2002, University of Maryland Working Paper 20, U.S.A.  HASENDONCKS, MICHEL, "VBM - Value Driver Tree", June 8, 2005, Corporate Performance Monitoring Seminar. Belgium  BARUA ANITESH; LEE, C.H. SOPHIE; WHINSTON, ANDREW, "The Calcul Reengineering", August 1996, pages 409 - 428, V7, Information Systems Rese USA  KOLLER, TIMOTHY, "What is value based management", 3rd Quarter 1994, p 87 - 101, McKinsey Quarterly, McKinsey, U.S.A.  BROWN, CAROL; COAKLEY, JAMES; PHILLIPS, MARY ELLEN, "Neural Netw	es 1 - 1 - 5, - 37, o site
<ul> <li>72, Chicago Board of Trade, U.S.A.</li> <li>W3C, "Extensible Markup Language (XML)", W3C web site archives, pages April 1999</li> <li>GOLDFARB, CHARLES; &amp; PRESCOD, PAUL; XML Handbook; 1998, pages 20 Prentice Hall, U.S.A.</li> <li>MAIER, DAVID, "Database Desiderata for an XML Query Language"; W3C well archives, pages 1 - 6, March 2001</li> <li>WIDOM, JENNIFER, "Data Management for XML, Research Directions", Septe 1999, pages 44 - 52, V22, IEEE Data Engineering Bulletin, Special Issue on U.S.A.</li> <li>CHAMBERS, ROBERT; QUIGGINS, JOHN; "Resource Allocation and A Pricing", pages 1 - 29, November 2002, University of Maryland Working Paper 20, U.S.A.</li> <li>HASENDONCKS, MICHEL, "VBM - Value Driver Tree", June 8, 2005, Corporate Performance Monitoring Seminar. Belgium</li> <li>BARUA ANITESH; LEE, C.H. SOPHIE; WHINSTON, ANDREW, "The Calcul Reengineering", August 1996, pages 409 - 428, V7, Information Systems Rese USA</li> <li>KOLLER, TIMOTHY, "What is value based management", 3rd Quarter 1994, pages 47, 101, McKinsey Quarterly, McKinsey, U.S.A.</li> <li>BROWN, CAROL; COAKLEY, JAMES; PHILLIPS, MARY ELLEN, "Neural Networks"</li> </ul>	1 - 5, - 37, o site
April 1999  GOLDFARB, CHARLES; & PRESCOD, PAUL; XML Handbook;1998, pages 20 Prentice Hall, U.S.A.  MAIER, DAVID, "Database Desiderata for an XML Query Language"; W3C well archives, pages 1 - 6, March 2001  WIDOM, JENNIFER, "Data Management for XML, Research Directions", Septe 1999, pages 44 - 52, V22, IEEE Data Engineering Bulletin, Special Issue on U.S.A.  CHAMBERS, ROBERT; QUIGGINS, JOHN; "Resource Allocation and A Pricing", pages 1 - 29, November 2002, University of Maryland Working Paper 20, U.S.A.  HASENDONCKS, MICHEL, "VBM - Value Driver Tree", June 8, 2005, Corporate Performance Monitoring Seminar. Belgium  BARUA ANITESH; LEE, C.H. SOPHIE; WHINSTON, ANDREW, "The Calcul Reengineering", August 1996, pages 409 - 428, V7, Information Systems Rese USA  KOLLER, TIMOTHY, "What is value based management", 3rd Quarter 1994, p. 87 - 101, McKinsey Quarterly, McKinsey, U.S.A.  BROWN, CAROL; COAKLEY, JAMES; PHILLIPS, MARY ELLEN, "Neural Networks are presented by the company of the compan	- 37, o site
Prentice Hall, U.S.A.  MAIER, DAVID, "Database Desiderata for an XML Query Language"; W3C well archives, pages 1 - 6, March 2001  WIDOM, JENNIFER, "Data Management for XML, Research Directions", Septe 1999, pages 44 - 52, V22, IEEE Data Engineering Bulletin, Special Issue on U.S.A.  CHAMBERS, ROBERT; QUIGGINS, JOHN; "Resource Allocation and Pricing", pages 1 - 29, November 2002, University of Maryland Working Paper 20, U.S.A.  HASENDONCKS, MICHEL, "VBM - Value Driver Tree", June 8, 2005, Corporate Performance Monitoring Seminar. Belgium  BARUA ANITESH; LEE, C.H. SOPHIE; WHINSTON, ANDREW, "The Calcul Reengineering", August 1996, pages 409 - 428, V7, Information Systems Rese USA  KOLLER, TIMOTHY, "What is value based management", 3rd Quarter 1994, p. 87 - 101, McKinsey Quarterly, McKinsey, U.S.A.  BROWN, CAROL; COAKLEY, JAMES; PHILLIPS, MARY ELLEN, "Neural Networks archives archives a company to the company to	site mber
archives, pages 1 - 6, March 2001  WIDOM, JENNIFER, "Data Management for XML, Research Directions", Septe 1999, pages 44 - 52, V22, IEEE Data Engineering Bulletin, Special Issue on U.S.A.  CHAMBERS, ROBERT; QUIGGINS, JOHN; "Resource Allocation and A Pricing", pages 1 - 29, November 2002, University of Maryland Working Paper 20, U.S.A.  HASENDONCKS, MICHEL, "VBM - Value Driver Tree", June 8, 2005, Corporate Performance Monitoring Seminar. Belgium  BARUA ANITESH; LEE, C.H. SOPHIE; WHINSTON, ANDREW, "The Calcul Reengineering", August 1996, pages 409 - 428, V7, Information Systems Rese USA  KOLLER, TIMOTHY, "What is value based management", 3rd Quarter 1994, p. 87 - 101, McKinsey Quarterly, McKinsey, U.S.A.  BROWN, CAROL; COAKLEY, JAMES; PHILLIPS, MARY ELLEN, "Neural Networks and the company of	mber
<ul> <li>1999, pages 44 - 52, V22, IEEE Data Engineering Bulletin, Special Issue on U.S.A.</li> <li>CHAMBERS, ROBERT; QUIGGINS, JOHN; "Resource Allocation and A Pricing", pages 1 - 29, November 2002, University of Maryland Working Paper 20, U.S.A.</li> <li>HASENDONCKS, MICHEL, "VBM - Value Driver Tree", June 8, 2005, Corporate Performance Monitoring Seminar. Belgium</li> <li>BARUA ANITESH; LEE, C.H. SOPHIE; WHINSTON, ANDREW, "The Calcul Reengineering", August 1996, pages 409 - 428, V7, Information Systems Rese USA</li> <li>KOLLER, TIMOTHY, "What is value based management", 3rd Quarter 1994, p 87 - 101, McKinsey Quarterly, McKinsey, U.S.A.</li> <li>BROWN, CAROL; COAKLEY, JAMES; PHILLIPS, MARY ELLEN, "Neural Networks"</li> </ul>	
<ul> <li>Pricing", pages 1 - 29, November 2002, University of Maryland Working Paper 20, U.S.A.</li> <li>HASENDONCKS, MICHEL, "VBM - Value Driver Tree", June 8, 2005, Corporate Performance Monitoring Seminar. Belgium</li> <li>BARUA ANITESH; LEE, C.H. SOPHIE; WHINSTON, ANDREW, "The Calcul Reengineering", August 1996, pages 409 - 428, V7, Information Systems Rese USA</li> <li>KOLLER, TIMOTHY, "What is value based management", 3rd Quarter 1994, p 87 - 101, McKinsey Quarterly, McKinsey, U.S.A.</li> <li>BROWN, CAROL; COAKLEY, JAMES; PHILLIPS, MARY ELLEN, "Neural Networks"</li> </ul>	
Corporate Performance Monitoring Seminar. Belgium  BARUA ANITESH; LEE, C.H. SOPHIE; WHINSTON, ANDREW, "The Calcul Reengineering", August 1996, pages 409 - 428, V7, Information Systems Rese USA  KOLLER, TIMOTHY, "What is value based management", 3rd Quarter 1994, pages 47 - 101, McKinsey Quarterly, McKinsey, U.S.A.  BROWN, CAROL; COAKLEY, JAMES; PHILLIPS, MARY ELLEN, "Neural Networks and the company of the co	
70 Reengineering", August 1996, pages 409 - 428, V7, Information Systems Rese USA  71 KOLLER, TIMOTHY, "What is value based management", 3rd Quarter 1994, p. 87 - 101, McKinsey Quarterly, McKinsey, U.S.A.  BROWN, CAROL; COAKLEY, JAMES; PHILLIPS, MARY ELLEN, "Neural Networks and the company of the company	
87 - 101, McKinsey Quarterly, McKinsey, U.S.A. BROWN, CAROL; COAKLEY, JAMES, PHILLIPS, MARY ELLEN, "Neural Netw	
72 Enter World of Mgmt Accounting, May 1995, pages 51 - 57, V76, Manage Accounting, US	
BIELINSKI, DANIEL, "How to sort out the premium drivers of post deal value", August 1993, pages 33 - 37, V28, Mergers & Acquisitions, U.S.A.	
BERGSTROM, PETER; KIMBER ELIOT, "Formal data models for SGML HyTime", March 1999, pp. 271-287, XML Europe 1999 Conference Proceed Granada, Spain	- 1
75 HAROLD, ELLIOTTE, XML Bible, 1999, pages 3 - 15, IDG Books, U.S.A.	
76 KNIGHT, JAMES, Value Based Management, 1998, pages 1 - 301, McGraw U.S.A.	Hill,
77 NEUROSOLUTIONS, Application Summaries from web site, April 1999	
78 COPELAND, TOM, KOLLER, TIM, MURRIN, JACK, Valuation, 1990, pages 1 - John Wiley and Sons, U.S.A.	
BROWN, CAROLYN, PHILLIPS, MARY ELLEN, Expert Systems for Manage Accounting Tasks, 1995, pages 1 - 204, IMA Foundation, U.S.A.	ment
Anonymous, "Survey: Too Clever by Half", January 24, 2004, page 12, V370 Economist, U.K.	'
81 BRISYS, ERIC, DE VARENNE, FRANCOIS, Insurance from underwritin derivatives, 2001, pages 1 - 158, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., U.S.A.	The
82 CHICAGO BOARD OF TRADE, "SPAN Margin System", August 27, 1991, page 72, Chicago Board of Trade, U.S.A.	
PFEFFER, AVI, Bayesian Language for Cumulative Learning, 2000, pages 1 AAII, U.S.A.	g to

	MANIPONICINA MICHAEL HOST DESIR Lives OF 1000
84	MAUBOUSSIN, MICHAEL, "Get Real", June 23, 1999, pages 3 - 30, Credit Suisse First Boston, U.S.A.
85	BANDOPADHYAYA, ARINDAM, JONES, ANNE LEAH; "Measuring investor sentiment in equity markets"; February 2006, v7, pages 208 - 215, Journal Asset Management, U.S.A.
86	BROWN, CAROLYN, PHILLIPS, MARY ELLEN, Expert Systems for Management Accounting Tasks, 1995, pages 1 - 204, IMA Foundation, U.S.A.
87	THE APPRAISAL FOUNDATION, Uniform Standards of Professional Appraisal Practice 97, 1997. pages 55 - 61, The Appraisal Foundation, U.S.A.
88	DOWD, KEVIN, Beyond Value at Risk, 1998, pages 3 - 266, John Wiley & Sons, U.K.
89	HAYES, ROBERT & ABERNATHY, WILLIAM, "Managing our way to economic decline", July August 1980, pages 67 – 77, Harvard Business Review, USA
90	FARQUHAR, PETER & HAN, JULIE, "Recognizing and measuring your brand assets", July 1991, pages 1 - 29, Report 91-199, Marketing Science Institute, U.S.A.
91	FUSAI, GIANLUCA; SANFELICI, SIMONA; TAGLIANIA, ALDO; "Practical Problems in the Numerical Solutions of PDE's in Finance"; Rendiconti per gli studi economici quantitativa, pages 105 - 132, University Foscari De Venezia, Italy, 2001
92	UNITED STATES OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT, "The President's Quality Award Program', May 1997, pages 1 - 71, United States Office of Personnel Management, U.S.A.
93	MACK, ANN, "Choose Me', page 106, April 10, 2000, v41, Brandweek, U.S.A.
94	BAGHAI, MEHRDAD, COLEY, STEPHEN & WHITE, DAVID, "The Alchemy of Growth", 1999, pages 2 - 244, Perseus Books, U.S.A.
95	HULL, JOHN C., Options, futures and other derivatives, 2000, pages 1 - 371, Prentice Hall. U.S.A.
96	DELOITTE, "Valuing intangible assets, what are they really worth?", pages 1 - 15, January 2006
97	ROLL, RICHARD, "A Mean/Variance Analysis of Tracking Error", pages 13 - 22, V18, Summer 1992, Journal of Portfolio Management, U.S.A.
98	BALLOW, JOHN; BURGMAN, ROLAND; BURGOZ, SCOTT; "Enhanced Business Reporting"; October 2004, pages 1 - 30, Asset Economics, U.S.A.
99	CHAROENROOK, ANCHANDA; "Does Sentiment Matter?"; December 2003, pages 1 - 44, Financial Management Association International, U.S.A.
100	AUTHERS, JOHN, "Is it back to the Fifties?", www.ft.com, March 24, 2009, pages 1 - 4, Financial Times, U.K.
101	STIGLITZ, JOSEPH, "Prize Lecture: information and the change in the paradigm in economics", December 8, 2001, pages 472 - 540, The Nobel Foundation, Sweden
102	DOUGLAS, NIALL; "In the light of current evidence, critically examine the efficient market hypothesis", April 10, 2007, pages 1 - 7, St. Andrews University, Scotland
103	SOMOYE, RUSSELL; AKINTOYE, ISHOLA; OSENI, JIMOH; "Asset pricing in an informational inefficient market"; December, 2008, Pages 7 - 15, European Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Science, Eurojournals, Cyprus
104	BEECHEY, MERIDETH; GRUEN, DAVID; VICKERY, JAMES, "The efficient market hypothesis: a survey", January, 2000, pages 1 - 30, Reserve Bank of Australia, Australia
105	LUEHRMAN, TIMOTHY; "What's it worth", May-June 1997, pages 132 - 142, Harvard Business Review, U.S.A.
106	DAMODARAN, ASWATH, "The adjusted present value approach", December 4, 2003, pages 1 - 4, Stern School of Business, N.Y.U., U.S.A.

107	FERNANDEZ, PABLO, "Valuing companies by cash flow discounting", October 16,
	2008, pages 1 - 19, University of Navarra, Spain
108	RIGDON, ED, "Not positive definite matrices, causes and cures", Georgia State
	University web site, pages 1 - 6, June 11, 1997, USA
109	ZWEIG, JASON, "Does stock market data really go back 200 years?", Wall Street
	Journal, July 11, 2009, page B1, Dow Jones, U.S.A.
110	HALFORD, GRAEME et al, "Separating Cognitive Capacity from Knowledge",
	Trends in Cognitive Science, June 2007, pages 236 - 242, Cell Press, U.S.A.

Almost all of the references listed above were deemed relevant in accordance with the guidance provided by the MPEP because they teach away from the claimed methods. A few of the references (i.e. references #100, 101 and 109) were selected because they highlight some of the shortcomings of existing methods and are therefore relevant because they help document the utility of the claimed invention.

It should be noted that the fact that that the Assignee has identified the references listed above as being relevant to the patentability of the instant application is not an indication that any of these references would support an anticipation and/or obviousness rejection (many, if not all of them would not support such a determination). The Assignee notes that those signing the February 20, 2009 Office Action do not appear to apply the legal requirements for novelty defined by 35 USC 102 or 35 USC 103 during the review of patent applications by large companies so it would be arbitrary and capricious to apply these considerations to the instant application or to other applications in the Assignee's portfolio.